

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

| TO TO TO MAIO | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--------|--|---------------------|
| application No. 09/696,801 | 10/25/00 | BULLA | | | 48279-3USPT |
| JENKENS & GI 1445 ROSS AV DALLAS TX 75 | /ENUE SUITE | | 2/1102 | CLOW, L ART UNIT 1631 DATE MAILER | PAPER NUMBER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

| 1 | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/696,801 | BULLA ET AL. | | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| ŀ | Th MAILING DATE of this communication | Lori A. Clow, Ph.D. | 1631 | | | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cover sh et with th correspondence address | | | | | | | | |
| | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | | |
| | 0-1\ | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| [| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-82</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | 7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| | 8) Claim(s) $1-82$ are subject to restriction and/or ele | ection requirement. | | | | | | |
| Α | Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| | 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 October 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CER 1.95(a) | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | n approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action | | | | | | | |
| | 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| | Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| | 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| 4 | See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received | | | | | | | |
| 1 ' | 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | O-413) Paper No(s) nt Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| U.S. Pat PTO-3 | ent and Trademark Office 126 (Rev. 04-01) Office Action 9 | Summary | Part of Paner No. 8 | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7, drawn to nucleic acids, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- II. Claims 8-12, drawn to proteins, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claims 13-33, drawn to methods of targeting gene sequences, classified in class702, subclass 20.
- IV. Claims 34-59, drawn to a system of targeting gene sequences, classified in class702, subclass 20.
- V. Claims 60-80, drawn to a computer program to target gene sequences, classified in class 702, subclass 20.
- VI. Claims 81-82, drawn to an article of manufacture comprising a computer readable code for targeting gene sequences, classified in class 702, subclass 20.

The inventions of Group I, Group II, and Groups (III - VI) are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

For Group I the critical feature is a nucleic acid; for Group II the critical feature is a protein; for Groups III-VI the critical feature is a computer based method and system of targeting gene sequences by evaluating phenotypic characteristics.

The inventions of Group I and II are related in that the nucleic acids of Group I may encode the proteins of Group II. However, these are distinct, each

Art Unit: 1631

from the other, in that they are physically and functionally distinct chemical emities, and the protein can be made from another materially different process, such as by synthetic peptide synthesis. The nucleic acid may also be used in other processes other than production of the protein, such as PCR or nucleic acid hybridization.

Inventions I and (III-VI) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the nucleic acids may be used in the methods of Groups III-VI, however the method of Groups III-IV may also be used to investigate any nucleic acid sequence.

Inventions II and (III-VI) are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the proteins may be used in the methods of Groups III-VI, however the method of Groups III-IV may also be used to investigate any protein sequence.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1631

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

yThe drawings are objected to because of reasons indicated by the draftsman on 9-27-01. Correction is required.

Inquiries

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242, or (703) 308-4028.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lori A. Clow, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-0511. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Patent Analyst, Pauline Farrier, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3550, or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,801

Art Unit: 1631

November 1, 2001

Lori A. Clow, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1631

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600